Spatiotemporal variations of seismicity before major earthquakes in the Japanese area and their relation with the epicentral locations

Nicholas V. Sarlis\textsuperscript{a}, Efthimios S. Skordas\textsuperscript{a}, Panayiotis A. Varotsos\textsuperscript{a}, Toshiyasu Nagao\textsuperscript{b}, Masashi Kamogawa\textsuperscript{c}, and Seiya Uyeda\textsuperscript{d,1}

\textsuperscript{a}Solid State Section and Solid Earth Physics Institute, Physics Department, University of Athens, Zografou 157 84, Athens, Greece; \textsuperscript{b}Earthquake Prediction Research Center, Institute of Oceanic Research and Development, Tokai University, Shizuoka 424-8502, Japan; \textsuperscript{c}Department of Physics, Tokyo Gakugei University, Koganei-shi 184-8501, Japan; and \textsuperscript{d}Section II, Division 4, Japan Academy, Tokyo, 110-0007, Japan

Contributed by Seiya Uyeda, December 3, 2014 (sent for review November 25, 2014)

Using the Japan Meteorological Agency earthquake catalog, we investigate the seismicity variations before major earthquakes in the Japanese region. We apply natural time, the new time frame, for calculating the fluctuations, termed $\beta$, of a certain parameter of seismicity, termed $\kappa$. In an earlier study, we found that $\beta$ calculated for the entire Japanese region showed a minimum a few months before the shallow major earthquakes (magnitude larger than 7.6) that occurred in the region during the period from 1 January 1984 to 11 March 2011. In this study, by dividing the Japanese region into small areas, we carry out the $\beta$ calculation on them. It was found that some small areas show $\beta$ minimum almost simultaneously with the large area and such small areas clustered within a few hundred kilometers from the actual epicenter of the related main shocks. These results suggest that the present approach may help estimation of the epicentral location of forthcoming major earthquakes.

In this study, we investigate the evolution of seismicity shortly before main shocks in the Japanese region, $N(E_{\geq 8})$, using the Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA) earthquake catalog as in ref 1. For this, we adopted the new time frame called natural time since our previous works using this time frame made the lead time of prediction as short as a few days (see below). For a time series comprising $N$ earthquakes (EQs), the natural time $\chi_k$ is defined as $\chi_k = k/N$, where $k$ means the $k$th EQ with energy $Q_k$ (Fig. 1). Thus, the raw data for our investigation, to be read from the earthquake catalog, are $\chi_k = k/N$ and $p_k = Q_k/\sum_{n=1}^{N}Q_n$, where $p_k$ is the normalized energy. In natural time, we are interested in the order and energy of events but not in the time intervals between events.

We first calculate a parameter called $\kappa_1$, which is defined as follows (2, 3), from the catalog.

$$\kappa_1 = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N}p_k\chi_k^2}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N}p_k\right)^2} - \left\langle \chi^2 \right\rangle - \left\langle \chi \right\rangle^2. \quad [1]$$

We start the calculation of $\kappa_1$ at the time of initiation of Seismic Electric Signals (SES), the transient changes of the electric field of Earth that have long been successfully used for short-term EQ prediction (4, 5). The area to suffer a main shock is estimated on the basis of the selectivity map (4, 5) of the station that recorded the corresponding SES. Thus, we now have an area in which we count the small EQs of magnitude greater than or equal to a certain magnitude threshold that occur after the initiation of the SES. We then form time series of seismic events in natural time for this area each time a small EQ occurs, in other words, when the number of the events increases by one. The $\kappa_1$ value for each time series is computed for the pairs $(x_k, p_k)$ by considering that $x_k$ is “rescaled” to $\chi_k = k/(N + 1)$ together with rescaling $p_k = Q_k/\sum_{n=1}^{N+1}Q_n$ upon the occurrence of any additional event in the area. The resulting number of thus computed $\kappa_1$ values is usually of the order $10^5$ to $10^6$ depending, of course, on the magnitude threshold adopted for the events that occurred after the SES initiation until the main shock occurrence. When we followed this procedure, it was found empirically that the values of $\kappa_1$ converge to 0.07 a few days before main shocks. Thus, by using the date of convergence to 0.07 for prediction, the lead times, which were a few months to a few weeks or so by SES data alone, were made, although empirically, as short as a few days (6, 7). In fact, the prominent seismic swarm activity in 2000 in the Izu Island region, Japan, was preceded by a pronounced SES activity 2 mo before it, and the approach of $\kappa_1$ to 0.07 was found a few days before the swarm onset (8). However, when SES data are not available, which is usually the case, it is not possible to follow the above procedure. To cope with this difficulty, in the previous work (1), we investigated the time change of the fluctuation of the $\kappa_1$ values during a few preseismic months for each EQ (which we call target EQ) over the large area $N(E_{\geq 8})$ (Fig. 24) for the period from 1 January 1984 to 11 March 2011, the day of M9.0 Tohoku EQ. Setting a threshold $M_{HFA} = 3.5$ to assure data completeness of JMA catalog, we were left with 47,204 EQs in the concerned period of about 326 mo: ~150 EQs per month. For calculating the $\beta$ values, we chose 200 EQs before target EQs to cover the seismicity in almost one and a half months.

To obtain the fluctuation $\beta$ of $\kappa_1$, we need many values of $\kappa_1$ for each target EQ. For this purpose, we first took an excerpt comprised of $W$ successive EQs just before a target EQ from the seismic catalog. The number $W$ was chosen to cover a period of a few months. For this excerpt, we form its subexcerpts $S_j = \{Q_{k+1}\}_{k=1,2,\ldots,N}$ of consecutive $N = 6$ EQs (since at least

**Significance**

It was recently found that a few months before major earthquakes, the seismicity in the entire Japanese region exhibits a characteristic change. This change, however, can be identified when seismic data are analyzed in a new time domain termed “natural time.” By dividing the Japanese region into small areas, we find that some small areas show the characteristic change almost simultaneously with the large area and such small areas are clustered within a few hundred kilometers from the actual epicenter of the related major earthquake. This phenomenon may serve for forecasting the epicenter of a future major earthquake.
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For this purpose, we set circular areas with radius $R = 250$ km of 

EOs and (ii) on a large number of small areas instead of one large area. For consistency, we chose $W$ also as the number of 

EOs that on average occur in each small area within one and 

a half months to be used for calculating the $\beta$ in small areas (see 

Fig. 2 B and C). The data source is the same JMA seismic catalog. 

For this purpose, we set circular areas with radius $R = 250$ km of 

six EOs are needed (2) for obtaining reliable $\kappa_1$) of energy 

$Q_j=N$ and natural time $\mu=N$ each. Further, $p_k= 

\sum_{j=1}^{W-N} (W-N+1)$, and by sliding $S_j$ over the excerpt of $W$ EOs, 

calculate $\kappa_1$ using Eq. 1 for each $j$. We repeat this calculation for $N=7,8,\ldots,W$, 

thus obtaining an ensemble of $\{W-4\}(W-5)2$ ($=1+2+\ldots+W+5) \kappa_1$ values. Then, we compute the average $\mu(\kappa_1)$ and the 

SD $\sigma(\kappa_1)$ of thus obtained ensemble of $\{W-4\}(W-5)2 \kappa_1$ values. The variability $\beta$ of $\kappa_1$ for this excerpt $W$ is defined to be 

$\beta \equiv \sigma(\kappa_1)/\mu(\kappa_1)$ and is assigned to the $(W+1)^{th}$ EQ, i.e., 

the target EQ. The time evolution of the $\beta$ value can be pursued by sliding the 

catalog. Namely, through the same 

process as above, $\beta$ values assigned to $(W+2)^{th}$, $(W+3)^{th}$, $\ldots$ 

EOs in the catalog can be obtained. 

We found in ref. 1 that the fluctuation $\beta$ of $\kappa_1$ values exhibited 

minimum a few months before all of the six shallow EOs of 

magnitude larger than 7.6 that occurred in the study period. A 

minimum of $\beta \equiv \sigma(\kappa_1)/\mu(\kappa_1)$ means large average and/or small 

deviation of $\kappa_1$ values (e.g., see ref. 9). 

In the present work, we calculate the $\beta$ values for small areas 

before the six large EOs, which showed $\beta$ minima of the 

large area. 

The Relation Between $\beta$ Minimum of Small Areas and the 

Epicentral Area of a Forthcoming Main Shock 

The way to calculate the $\beta$ value in this work is the same as in 

ref. 1, except we worked (i) not on every EQ but on the six major 

Eqs. (ii) through the EQ catalog. Namely, through the same 

process as above, $\beta$ values assigned to $(W+2)^{th}$, $(W+3)^{th}$, $\ldots$ 

EOs in the study. (B) Contours of the number of EOs per month within $R = 250$ 

km. Solid diamonds show the epicenters of six shallow EOs investigated in 

this study. (C) Contours of the natural time window $W$ used in each of the 

12,476 areas of radius $R = 250$ km with offset 0.1° from one another that 

have at least eight EOs per month. 
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which the center is sliding through the large area with steps of 0.1° in longitude and latitude. To diminish boundary effects, the centers of small areas were restricted to lie in the region $N_{45}^2E_{147}^1$, i.e., $19° \times 21°$, giving rise to 191 positions along the latitude and 211 along the longitude. There were thus $191 \times 211 = 40,301$ small areas. However, since the distribution of epicenters is nonuniform, it was not possible to use all of them for the calculation of $\beta$. Fig. 2B schematically shows the distribution of the number of EQs per month in each $R = 250$-km small area, as deduced from the total EQ map (Fig. 2A), in the form of color thickness contour. For our purpose of investigating the variation of $\beta$ minima in a few preseismic periods, it is necessary to determine the value of $\beta$ in small areas (“local” $\beta$ minimum) for every few days. To have enough number of EQs, we must have at least one event for every few days and hence no less than two.

Fig. 3. Color contours of the number $n_{(x,y)}$ for EQs of magnitude 8.0 or larger: (A) 2011 Tohoku EQ, (B) 2003 Off-Tokachi EQ, and (C) 1994 East-Off Hokkaido EQ. Solid diamonds are epicenters.

Fig. 4. Color contours of the number $n_{(x,y)}$ for EQs of magnitude between 7.6 and 8.0: (A) 2010 Near Chichi-jima EQ, (B) 1994 Far-Off Sanriku EQ, and (C) 1993 Southwest-Off Hokkaido EQ.
events per week on average, i.e., at least eight events per month. If we impose the condition that the EQ numbers per month must be at least eight, we are left with 12,476 small areas, the \( W \) values of which are shown in Fig. 2C. We worked on the time changes of \( \beta \) for these areas. From the small areas that showed “local” \( \beta \) minimum, we selected the ones where the date of \( \beta \) minimum coincided (i.e., \( \pm 2 \) d) with the one in the large area. We started our investigation at 5.5 mo before each major EQ. The reason for this was that 5.5 mo is the maximum lead time of SES activities observed to date. To assure that a “local” \( \beta \) minimum is clearly recognizable, we imposed the criterion that it should differ more than 10% from the \( \beta \) value of the events that occurred within 10 d before and after.

When “local” \( \beta \) minima appeared simultaneously (\( \pm 2 \) d) with the \( \beta \) minima in the large area in many small areas, we investigated the spatial distribution of their centers as follows: We counted how many of their centers lie within 250 km from each point \((x_i,y_i)\) of a 0.05° × 0.05° grid. This number will be hereafter labeled \( n_c(x_i,y_i) \). It is our aim to find out where the largest number of \( n_c(x_i,y_i) \) is observed and examine whether it lies close to the epicenter of the forthcoming main shock.

**Results**

The above procedure has been applied for all six shallow EQs with \( M \) larger than 7.6 during the 27-y period. The results for this EQ was that 5.5 mo is the maximum lead time of SES activities observed to date. We found that, for all of the six shallow EQs of magnitude larger than 7.6 that occurred in Japan from 1 January 1984 to 11 March 2011, a large number of small areas exhibited \( \beta \) minimum almost simultaneously with the large area. Such small areas are accumulated in a region that lies within a few hundred kilometers of the actual epicenter. These results suggest that assessing \( \beta \) minimum in small areas every few days may help prelocate the epicenter of the forthcoming main shock.

**Conclusion**

We found that, for all of the six shallow EQs of magnitude larger than 7.6 that occurred in Japan from 1 January 1984 to 11 March 2011, a large number of small areas exhibited \( \beta \) minimum almost simultaneously with the large area. Such small areas are accumulated in a region that lies within a few hundred kilometers of the actual epicenter. These results suggest that assessing \( \beta \) minimum in small areas every few days may help prelocate the epicenter of the forthcoming main shock. The present method has the benefit that it can be applied when geoelectrical data are not available, although its accuracy is less than that based on SES data.